

Town Council Meeting
January 11, 2016

EXECUTIVE SESSION – 6:00 p.m.

1. Town Manager Recruitment per RIGL 42-46-5 (a) (1)
2. Miozzi Consent Judgment KC 2010-1574 per RIGL 42-46-5 (a) (2)
3. Imposition of Impact fees pursuant to agreement for the “Highlands” arising from litigation and potential litigation KC CA #03-444 and KC CA #13-5001 pursuant RIGL 42-46-5 (a) (2)
4. Imposition of Impact fees pursuant to agreement for the Village Green Condominiums arising from litigation and potential litigation KC CA #03-444 and KC CA #13-5001 pursuant to RIGL 42-46-5 (a) (2)

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to come out of Executive Session. All voted aye.

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to seal minutes of Executive Session. All voted aye.

WORK SESSION – 6:30 p.m.

1, Coventry Landfill Closure Update

Engineer Richard Hittinger updated the Town Council on the landfill closure and gave a power point presentation which included a proposed modification to the plan. Assisting and answering questions along with Mr. Hittinger were Attorney Joseph Farside, representing the town in this matter; Attorney David Graham who represents the PRP group; and Mr. Ed Summerly, design engineer.

Discussion centered around remediation and closure of the landfill, the BUD program and use of a synthetic cap. Mr. Hittinger went on to state that the consent decree for remediation requires that the town and the prp group remediate and close the inactive landfill on Arnold Road, with total cost of the work estimated at seven to ten million dollars. The BUD (Beneficial Use Determination) program was developed to help offset costs and was approved by both the Council and DEM in 2013. The site has been accepting BUD materials for 8 months, but the acceptance rates have been far below what was projected. The PRP group is considering BUD program modification pending tonight’s hearing.

Currently DEM approval calls for the installation of a low permeability soil cap, in order to limit surface water infiltration through the cap and also to control storm water runoff and soil erosion. Discussion tonight pertains to upgrading to an impermeable synthetic cap.

Mr. Hittinger went on to explain that one of the significant problems is that we have a total lead acceptance level of 500 parts per million, significantly lower than the Cranston or Central landfills, which have programs like this. The difference is that they both accept up to 2000 parts lead per million, which we would be able to accept if we agreed to put a synthetic cap on after we reach our final grade.

Interim Manager Kerbel added that as part of the contract with DiGregorio, they were charging

\$20.00 a ton. I had a conversation early on with DEM, and it was agreed that there are a couple of issues. Maybe the fee is high and others are charging less, but others are allowed to accept a higher lead level. DiGregorio has reduced their fee from \$20.00 to \$15.00, we are recommending this impermeable cap, which will allow us to take a higher concentration of lead. The bottom line is that the lead content is too low for many of the soils.

At the current pace, closure could take more than ten years. DEM has indicated a willingness to allow soil with higher lead concentration if the soil cap is replaced by a synthetic cap. By changing it should allow closure in less than five years. The proposed design changes include a impervious cap, upgrade of storm water controls at the site and the institution of soil blending and odor monitoring. Cranston landfill has been doing this successfully for five years.

Councilwoman Duxbury is concerned about drainage, especially with the lake nearby. Mr. Hittinger went on to explain the benefits of closure with turf technology where the town will experience enhanced groundwater protection, decreased operation and maintenance costs, enhanced landfill gas and odor control, quicker implementation and become more compatible with solar power end use. It will be more aesthetically pleasing.

DEM's policy with a soil cap limits the lead concentration to a maximum of 500 parts per million. We initially asked for 2,000 ppm. The low lead concentration of 500 ppm has been limiting some of the soil that is acceptable at the facility. DEM would like to see this landfill remediated and closed in five years.

With the modification, it will first be tested in a very small area under a controlled method. Manager Kerbel said that if the impervious cap has more runoff, that's good news as the runoff isn't going into the landfill but will run off the top. Mrs. Duxbury is also concerned about odor. Councilman Laboissonniere asked where the c & d facilities are and remarked that many years ago there was a facility on Colvintown Road, there was a lot of stuff going in there and he wonders if that particular facility is going to get ramped up again. There was a spontaneous combustion incident there that lasted six weeks. Mr. Hittinger replied that the facilities could be anywhere, but most likely they are in the RI area. This material comes from demo companies. Manager Kerbel stated that this is the reason why we are talking about very localized testing in the beginning. The materials will be tested first before they are accepted. DEM has a list of unacceptable materials and it is the job of onsite people to monitor and make sure testing is complied with. Councilman Laboissonniere asked if there is a vendor list. Mr. Hittinger did not know but Attorney Farside advised that there is a very extensive application process with DEM, so in the end we will know where they came from and what the contents are.

Solicitor Gorham asked how they could have been so far off the BUD projections. Attorney Hittinger said this is a concept that has worked very well for other clients, but not so well here. Besides the economy being an issue, originally we thought we could get the 2000 parts per million, but DEM's policy is that we can only take up to 500 ppm without a synthetic cap. There are not a lot of these projects in RI. Mr. Hittinger added that it was the Solid Waste Division of DEM that said we could not have 2000 ppm and lowered to 500 ppm without the synthetic cap. Cranston can have 2000 ppm because they have the synthetic cap. This is an unwritten policy of DEM, it is not totally fair. If we are going to mimic Cranston, then we are going to have to have a synthetic cap. It is actually beneficial for the town to make this change. Solicitor Gorham understands, but doesn't get why we didn't start with this design and strategy in the beginning.

After completion of remediation, it will require continued storm water maintenance and groundwater monitoring for 30 years.

With the synthetic cap, the lead will be isolated from the rain water. There is much more protection than with the soil cap, as the soil cap allows a small amount of water to go through. The synthetic cap stops all water from going through and the lead stays underneath. He went on to show a comparison of estimated costs, both with the current cap and with a synthetic cap. (See presentation for comparisons)

What is recommended is to submit an application to DEM to include the closure turf cap, and request that soil be acceptable with lead levels up to 2000 ppm and c & d fines. Then, implement a limited, phased trial of c & d fines with perimeter monitoring. The town will have authority to stop acceptance of c & d fines if odors become a problem. Control the placement of c & d fines and high lead soils in a predetermined area of the landfill. Councilman McGee wants to be assured of strict monitoring.

To date, \$1.6 million has been spent on the landfill. President Shibley asked how the initial estimates of \$7 million to \$10, million would change if this is approved and Mr. Hittinger replied there would be a cost increase of about \$1.3 million.

Manager Kerbel added that the town approved a \$5 million bond and has already borrowed \$860,000 of that five million authorization. The ironic part of all of this, when the cost goes up, revenue goes up and the town's out of pocket expenses are less under this program, if everything works right. If it doesn't, then we would have to borrow a lot more of that five million. This is why we want the town to have veto power of the c & d fines.

Councilwoman Duxbury asked the projected time period to finish the project and Mr. Hittinger replied five years. However, she is still concerned about the lead going from 500 ppm to 2000 ppm Mr. Hittinger responded that 2000 ppm is the maximum, the materials may not be that high, yet higher than the 500 ppm. Current we can only accept up to 500 ppm. Mrs. Duxbury feels there may be another idea out there and is not anxious to jump into this without making sure. She would like to wait and see if the reduction in tipping fees has an impact.

Councilman McGee feels much more confident with a barrier being put in there. President Shibley doesn't think it will matter waiting a month or so, they can still continue what they have been doing. If we have to go with the synthetic cap, that's the way we can get that landfill done in five years. Mr. Hittinger said that the application will take about six weeks to prepare.

Dog Park

Manager Kerbel gave a summary of previous discussions about a dog park behind the Town Hall Annex. However, the recommendation tonight is that it be relocated adjacent to the Oliveiri property on Route 117 near the sewer pump station, which is town owned and previously used as a t ball field.

Parks and Recreation Director Jay Primiano submitted a relocation request to the Town Council, requesting construction of a 150 x 100 foot dog park in conjunction with the Dog Park Committee.

Posts and rails from the greenway sewerage project will be repurposed, labor will come from the Parks division and dollars from the "Friends of Coventry Dog Park. One of the benefits to the dog park will be the ability for dogs and owners to socialize and it is within walking distance from more densely populated areas through use of the greenway. The park will service both small and large dogs separately. There will be limited square corners in the park which will diminish threatening dog cornering. As we foresee a potentially greater use of the Town Hall Annex for recreation

programming, we would like to reserve the space at the annex for potential future programs.

The cost to the town will be little to no expense. The Parks Division will provide labor, machinery and fuel to run the machinery and will contribute already stockpiled materials currently stored at Central Coventry Park. The Dog Park Friends will contribute \$3,800.

As far as future costs, dog waste bags will need to be replenished (contributed by Dog Park Friends), Parks and Recreation already cuts and trims the grass in the area and restriping of the parking lot will be completed eventually.

Councilwoman Carlson asked if there will still be room for the horse caravans and Mr. Primiano replied that there is sufficient space. She doesn't want to see the horses frightened by barking dogs. She also asked if the dogs will need to have current licenses and rabies tags, along with monitoring to make sure they are healthy. Mr. Primiano replied that our signage should accommodate that issue. This seems to be a group of people who are really self monitoring. It is possible that we may work with animal control and they could do spot checks from time to time. However, in Charlestown there was never any checks and there were also no problems over a 3 ½ year period.

Mrs. Carlson asked about liability insurance and what would happen if someone gets attacked? Will certain breeds, for example pit bulls, boxers, german shepherds, be allowed to come in? Who is liable? Mr. Primiano believes the Interlocal Trust would cover this. He also does not plan to discriminate against specific types of dog breeds, although that would be Council's choice.

As far as dog waste, there will be receptacles and Parks and Recreation would pick up periodically. In the budget you will see that the expenses will be covered by Dog Park Association; we would be handling the labor aspect of the project.

Councilman Laboissonniere asked whether he has had discussions with abutting neighbors and homeowners. There are a lot of homes over there and dogs do bark. If the neighbors have no problem with it, then he doesn't either, but thinks they do need to be consulted. Mr. Primiano replied that he has had a short discussion about running the program on site and there is a strong desire by the business owner to get more people over there. Eventually there will be a business there.

Councilwoman Carlson asked whether this project needs to go to the Zoning Board and Solicitor Gorham is not sure, will have to look into it. Councilman McGee added that we didn't think there was a need to go to zoning at the previous annex location. He thinks the dog park is a great idea, good location and we will be utilizing our town property. There is already an ordinance requiring that dogs are licensed, and in order to be licensed the rabies shots need to be up to date. He also doesn't think there should be any discrimination of breeds going into the park.

Councilman Laboissonniere asked who maintains the right of way and Mr. Primiano said that as he understands it, there is a 30 foot right of way on the western side of the property abutting the stone wall and it is maintained and plowed by the town. There is really very little development needed, the land is flat, grass is growing and it is in good condition. It is an ideal situation and could be done relatively quickly.

Councilwoman Duxbury thinks this is a good idea, but wants to make sure the operation is monitored, that there are waste bags available, that the waste is picked up and disposed of, that only licensed animals are allowed, who exactly will run the park and if it is the dog park association, are there policies regarding the operation of the park that they will have to follow? Will the town contribute any resources? Mr. Primiano responded that there may be some collaboration, the

association will continue to raise money and the town will be responsible for the property and cutting the grass, as we do already. Mrs. Duxbury wants to go into this with eyes open and wants to know how problems and issues get resolved if they arise.

Mr. Primiano does not see this as being a high maintenance project. Councilwoman Duxbury suggested speaking with Colonel Macdonald to see if Animal Control can have a plan if they are going to have to play a role in monitoring. She also believes that the people around that area have a right to know about the dog park. I don't have an issue with relocating the park as long as people in the neighborhood are fine with it. I understand the town will be a back up to the dog organization, certainly the town can support this type of thing. Mr. Primiano will report back to council after more details are worked out.

Sewer Facility Plan

Glen Skurka, Chairman of the Sewer Subcommittee and Kent Nichols of Weston and Sampson were present to discuss the sewer facilities plan. Mr. Skurka advised that the plan needs to be restructured, as required by DEM. Mr. Nichols gave an update, referring to the handout summary with three maps attached. The plan is kind of a roadmap for the sewers in Coventry. It has already been changed and modified many times, The document tonight intends to show about a 20 year plan for the town. We have already done some pretty amazing things and there is a map included of our existing systems along with additional discussed projects. The town has a lot of capital that you are not using. The attached maps show the assessment of existing conditions on the first map, proposed sewer contracts on the second map and the revised recommended sewer plan on the third page.

He gave an overview of the facilities plan update, the goals of the new update, the current and future flows into the wastewater system and the assessment of future wastewater planning via future sewer contracts including operations, maintenance, and preventative maintenance items along with reviews from the town, Department of Environmental Management, comments and the final submission to DEM.

Coventry still has 9/10's of their capacity at the West Warwick plant. Manager Kerbel indicated that the Council needs to identify an area, as we have the bond money and are paying interest on the money.

Mr. Nichols went on to describe the many different areas outlined on the third map, those in purple as potential areas with some areas removed to dedicate more to economic development.

A few things continued to change since Contract 7, we were going to go on to Contract 8, but did not. However, there are a lot of future areas that can be expanded and part of this plan is to figure out where the needs might have changed for the updated plan. The town now is sitting with a couple of million dollars that needs to be allocated somehow and you need to identify where to go next. Contracts 8 – 10 have been plotted on the map to show us future projects. In addition there are a couple of spots where the town has talked about heading further west than the post office on Route 3, a great development area there. We are here to see what you want to do next. Manager Kerbel agreed that we need to identify a project and spend the money, approximately 2.2 million, and that is the next step for Council, to look at the maps, identify a project, come to a conclusion and get it underway.

Mr. Nichols went on to explain that Contract 8 was stopped because of the costs, with so much rock and ledge in the area. Councilmember McGee, also a member of the Sewer Subcommittee,

advised that combining projects was also discussed due to costs, however, nothing is set in stone.

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING – 7:00 p.m.

Present: Councilman McGee, Councilwoman Duxbury, Vice-President Carlson, President Shibley, Councilman Laboissonniere, Town Manager Kerbel, Town Solicitor Gorham

Pledge of Allegiance

Invocation

Review of Emergency Evacuation Plan

Approval of Town Council minutes December 7 and December 14, 2015

Councilman Laboissonniere referred to the end of the December 7 minutes and asked that the incorrect spelling of his last name be corrected.

Councilwoman Duxbury asked that in the December 14 minutes, on page 8, third paragraph, that the word “trash” be inserted, where she refers to the “new program”, so it will read “new trash program”.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to approve minutes as corrected. All voted aye.

President’s Comments

President Shibley announced a ribbon cutting ceremony on Friday at 11:00 a.m. at the Pawtuxet River Stabilization site located at the General Nathanael Greene Bridge, having been postponed from December 11, 2015. The ceremony will take place outside, weather permitting.

Open House will be held at the Coventry High School, Regional Tech Career building, on January 16th from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. New programs will be announced for 2016.

Superior Court Judge Silverstein approved the receiver’s petition to sell the Hope Mill. Coventry owns 7.5 acres there and is owed about \$56,000. We hope to see that money and Solicitor Gorham understands that Coventry will be paid.

There is a vacancy on the Coventry Land Trust. If anyone is interested, send in your application to the Town Clerk.

Council District Updates

District 3 Councilman McGee was happy to report that a portion of Blackrock Road has been paved.

District 5 Councilwoman Duxbury commented that some vehicle thefts have occurred in her district and Coventry Police have increased patrols. Whoever is performing these thefts is not making noise and leaving car doors ajar, so please lock your cars.

There were no reports this evening from District 1 Vice-President Carlson and District 2 Councilman Laboissonniere.

District 4 President Shibley announced that the “Dollar House” on Washington Street has been

razed and the gate house on Tiogue Lake has been vandalized. There was a fire at the plaza where Dragon Palace is located, but due to the rapid response from the adjoining fire district, damage was less severe than it could have been and was mostly smoke and water.

LICENSES

1. Renewal of Firearms licenses:

- (a) Rhode Island Gunworks, 303 S. Main Street
- (b) Mid-State Gun Co., LLC, 1200 Tiogue Avenue
- (c) Hawkins Machine Co., Inc., 374 Hopkins Hill Road

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilman Laboissonniere to approve renewals. All voted Aye.

RESOLUTIONS

1. Authorizing the Town Council President to enter into an agreement with the Town Manager

President Shibley announced that the new Town Manager is Mr. Graham Waters, currently a city manager in New Carrollton, MD. He was unanimously selected by the Town Council and will begin employment in Coventry on February 16, 2016.

A motion was made by Vice-President Carlson seconded by Councilman Laboissonniere to approve resolution. All voted Aye.

2. Appointing Tax Assessor for the Town of Coventry

Manager Kerbel announced that the new Tax Assessor, unanimously agreed upon by Council, currently works in Providence and has previously worked in Burrillville and Attleboro, MA. Mr. James Drew is a resident of Exeter.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to approve resolution. All voted aye.

3. Accepting the actuarial valuation of the Police Pension Plan for FY 17

Manager Kerbel advised that the funding percentage is going up. Although it is still low, it is heading in the right direction. However, the plan is consistent with the funding improvement plan submitted to the State of RI, which in 2012 was 9.9% funded; 10.3% in 2013; 12.9% in 2014 and currently 14.6% in 2015, per Finance Director Thibeault.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Councilman McGee to approve resolution. All voted aye.

4. Adopting borrowing priorities

Manager Kerbel explained that the schedule for the bond requires action by the Council for borrowing that will occur later in the spring. We are borrowing approximately 3.9 million, which includes about \$3.225 million for the road bond, \$150,000 on the automated recycling program, and

approximately \$450,000 for landfill remediation. This is not a final number, we just need authorization. Included in the road bond, there is a policy issue, we will still borrow the maximum of \$3,225 million, the maximum allowed, but in question is whether or not to do the off right of way, off pavement, on a state road, but is part of the RI Infrastructure Bank's priority projects. If you agree to it, we will use some town funds to do some streetscape projects. We need to know if this is a priority or if you just want to use the road bond to pave town roads.

DPW Director Kevin McGee stated that it is \$880,000 for the streetscape; however, our portion would be \$80,000, 10%. We have to decide if it will be done as part of the bond. If we don't do it, we will lose \$800,000 of state money. This is a 10% match.

Mrs. Duxbury agrees, clarifying that it won't take money away from paving roads, except for the 10%. At some point Mrs. Duxbury would like to get a list of what we have done and what the costs of those items have been, in order to see what projects we have completed and what the costs were.

Councilman Laboissonniere feels that this is a great investment. Councilman McGee referred to the automated collection bond 5.5 million and we have about 1.2 million remaining. Finance Director Thibeault indicated that we will not use the whole \$5.5 million; we have only issued what we have used. Councilwoman Duxbury asked if at the end of the year we can do a post audit, look at what Mr. Hoover's original prediction was that this was supposed to pay for itself and see how that compares with what has actually happened? He probably based that prediction on the \$5.5 million, but with \$1.2 million remaining, it exceeds expectations

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilman Laboissonniere to approve resolution. All voted aye.

5. Rescheduling Town Council meeting

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Vice-President Carlson to reschedule the Town Council meeting from January 25, 2016 to January 26, 2016. All voted aye.

Manager Kerbel explained that next three items are all for approval of appropriations using impact fees. The items are broken, but have a useful life of more than ten years. Each of the items was less than \$10,000. We are recommending approval of these resolutions using impact fees.

6. Approving replacement of hot water heater at annex

7. Approving purchase of repeater for antennae

8. Approving Tioque Lake Gatehouse Repair

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to approve Resolution numbers 6, 7 and 8. All voted aye.

9. Authorizing the Interim Town Manager to sign an agreement regarding "Village Green" Impact Fees

10. Authorizing the Interim Town Manager to sign an agreement for Impact Fees for the "Highlands" arising from litigation KC CA #03-444 and KC CA #13-5001

Manager Kerbel asked to consider Resolutions #9 and #10 together. He was authorized to sign an agreement earlier that called for a range of impact fees in Village Green from \$1700 to approximately \$5200; in the Highlands from \$1700 to about \$7600. The solicitor and I have

negotiated with Mr. Mihailidies, owner of the properties. He is looking for certificates of occupancy on about twenty units, twelve in Village Green and eight in the Highlands. He has also agreed to pay \$1700, the lower amount in Village Green and \$4450 in the Highlands. This will result in about \$56,000 or so to the town.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to approve resolutions. All voted aye.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Application for new Class B Ltd. liquor license by Leea Cavanaugh dba Greenway Café, 21 Hill Farm Road (formerly Pete's Pizza)

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Councilman McGee to open public hearing. All voted aye.

Leea Cavanaugh was present and told the Council that she will be open for breakfast and lunch; operating hours will be from 6 a.m. to 3 p.m. She is confident that she will do well with the location being next to the greenway. Council agreed that she has a great location and wishes her luck.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to close public hearing. All voted aye.

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Vice-President Carlson to approve application. All voted aye.

2. Amending Chapter 153 of the Coventry Code of Ordinances, Licensed Businesses, Section 153-4 Closing hours for asphalt plants and cement plants

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to open public hearing. All voted aye.

Manager Kerbel said what this amendment does is changes and includes the time for processing firewood and mulch including warming up machines and queuing or loading trucks, in the definition of operations. Solicitor Gorham explained that there is one exception and that would be for firewood harvested from one's own property. Then this ordinance does not apply.

Councilwoman Duxbury said that her reasons for this amendment are threefold: The first reason stems from many complaints from residents in the area about noise coming from operations other than asphalt plants; secondly, the police need more definition for enforcement; and thirdly if we have hours of operation that apply to businesses in an industrial park, it doesn't provide a fair playing ground when some businesses have hours and restrictions and some do not. We received feedback from Colonel MacDonald and it is important that the police have the tools to enforce. Chief MacDonald stated that he supports the proposed ordinance with the changes.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to close public hearing. All voted aye.

A motion was made by Councilwoman Duxbury seconded by Vice-President Carlson to approve ordinance amendment. All voted aye.

3. Amendment to the Zoning Ordinance to allow for reasonable citing of solar energy Facilities

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to open public hearing. All voted aye.

Manager Kerbel pointed out that the recommendations from the Planning Commission have been included in the Council packets. The ordinance has been slightly modified and if you want to accept the Planning Commission recommendations, then you want to vote on the ordinance as amended by the Planning Commission.

Planning Director Paul Sprague advised that the Planning Commission recommended to additionally allow solar powered electrical generating stations on roof mounts in general business zones and industrial zones, the reason being that Planning felt there may be large buildings throughout this town that could accommodate solar on the rooftop, and made the recommendation that Council give consideration to allowing major solar energy in all zones except for Village Main Street Commercial.

President Shibley said that he doesn't see GB 1 on the matrix and Mr. Sprague replied that although there is a GB 1 and a GB, on the matrix it is just shown as GB. Solicitor Gorham asked if there were any other changes to text and Mr. Sprague responded there were not, just changing N (Not permitted) to S (Special Use) on the matrix with the exception of VMC. Only the matrix needed to be changed, no change in verbiage.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to close public hearing. All voted aye.

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilwoman Carlson to approve ordinance with amendments. All voted aye.

4. Transportation Improvement Program and adoption of resolution approving submission of program

A motion was made by Vice-President Carlson seconded by Councilwoman Duxbury to open public hearing. All voted aye.

Manager Kerbel stated that every few years the state requires the town to give input to the transportation improvement program. It requires cities and towns to submit priorities to them. We made our submission on Friday and you have in your packet the road paving priorities of the town including the completion of the trestle trail project and streetscape improvement projects that we talked about. We discussed all this briefly with the legislators at the December 15 meeting. We will see what happens.

There was no public comment.

A motion was made by Councilman Laboissonniere seconded by Vice-President Carlson to close

public hearing. All voted aye.

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilman Laboissonniere to approve resolution. All voted aye.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Robert Lawrence, Darton Street, asked that Public Comment be placed at the beginning of the meeting.

A motion was made by Councilman McGee seconded by Councilman Laboissonniere to adjourn meeting. All voted aye.

Town Clerk